Dr. Kate Weaver ceweaver@austin.utexas.edu

Ph: 1.512.232.3443 Skype: kateweaverUT Office: SRH 3.358 Office Hours: Tues 10-12:00 + by appt Virtual Office Hours via Skype by appt

# PA388K Fall 2014 Evaluation Methods for Global Development and Humanitarian Assistance

Wednesdays 9:00 am - 12:00 pm SRH 3.316/350

#### Overview

This seminar overviews the various methods used in both poverty assessment, program design and program evaluation in international development and humanitarian assistance work. We will emphasize training in qualitative methods such as Participatory Assessment, Environmental and Social Assessment, Beneficiary Assessment and Stakeholder Analysis, and Experimental Design and Evaluation. We will also study data collection methods such as interviews, focus groups, surveys, and sampling techniques. While this course will primarily focus on qualitative methods, it will strongly emphasize wide exposure to the means by which we collect, analyze and use data in international development work, and the ethical and analytical concerns that arise therein. We will also closely examine the results-based monitoring and evaluation policies and practices of key international organizations and non-profit/ non-governmental organizations that work in international development and humanitarian assistance. We will study how to evaluate both sector-wide/strategy approaches, such as food security and post-conflict aid, as well as program/project-level evaluations in areas such as education and health. We will end with an examination of the feedback mechanisms and learning culture of agencies, and how evaluation is used (or not) within aid and humanitarian organizations.

Assignments will focus on the critical assessment and application of evaluation tools, meta-evaluation strategies, and the design of an approach paper for a program or project evaluation. The course is intended to complement the quantitatively-oriented course on program evaluation and the seminar on complex emergencies offered in the Spring 2015.

#### **Course Schedule:**

Aug. 27: Defining the Approaches and Objectives of Evaluation

**Sept. 3**: Results-Based Monitoring & Evaluation (RBME) and Theories of Change

Sept.10: Assessments

Sept.17: Synthesis and Meta-Evaluation

**Sept.24:** Designing Evaluations

Oct.1: Evaluation Designs, Part I: Experimental, Quasi-Experimental & Case Study Methods

Oct.8: Experimental Methods, Part II: The Case of Burundi Peacebuilding [guest lecture]

Oct.15: Designing and Conducting Evaluations: Data Collection Strategies and Tools, Part I

Oct.22: Designing and Conducting Evaluations: Data Collection Strategies and Tools, Part II

Oct.29: Sampling Strategies and RealWorld / Real Time Evaluation Techniques

**Nov.5**: Evaluating Complex Interventions

**Nov.12:** Evaluation of Organizational Strategies and Sector-Wide Programs

Nov.19: Managing and Presenting Evaluations / The Impact, Ethics, and Politics of Evaluation

**Nov.26:** No Class – Thanksgiving Break

**Dec.3:** In-class presentations of final meta-evaluation papers

# **Required Book:**

Linda G. Morra Imas and Ray C. Rist. 2009. *Road to Results: Designing and Conducting Effective Development Evaluations*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Available online (for free!) as a PDF through the World Bank's Open Knowledge Portal at

https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/2699/52678.pdf?sequence=1

All other required readings are available on Canvas in PDF format or available as free downloads on the internet (see links in the reading schedule). NOTE: I reserve the right to add or subtract readings from the required list during the course of the semester.

# **Assignments and Grading**

# I. In-Class Participation (5%)

Minimal participation in classroom discussion requires that you read, think about, and bring to class the assigned reading materials; be prepared to discuss the reading materials; and show respect for other participants as well as the instructor. The discussion evaluation guideline attached to the end of this syllabus differentiates contributors in the following areas: mastery of material, quality of ideas, effectiveness of argumentation, respectful and active engagement of others in the discussion, and general impression. Positive class participation is not based on a quantitative measure of how many times you speak in class. Rather, good participation entails actively staying engaged during class by asking questions, making useful comments, and posing an argument relevant to the topic at hand. A willingness to play devil's advocate is encouraged. I will assess your participation on two fronts: your participation in general class discussions and your proactive and constructive participation as part of in-class exercises.

#### II. Evaluation Methods Portfolio (3 assignments @ 15% each = 45%)

In the reading schedule, I have listed six possible assignments that correspond to particular class session topics. Each assignment is brief in length (usually 2-3 pages) and designed to apply or further explore an evaluation approach or methodology that we will read about and discuss in class. Some of the assignments can be done in teams, which you may construct according to your own preferences. Where feasible, I have also built in a lot of choice to each assignment to allow you to explore your particular substantive interests in international development or humanitarian assistance.

You must choose three (3) assignments from this list of six and complete them according to the due dates listed in the reading schedule. See the assignment details in the reading schedule provided in this syllabus. For your convenience, I have constructed a table below that summarizes the topics and due dates. In every case, the assignment should be posted to the Canvas Discussion Board by 9:00 pm on the due date (which is always a Monday to allow time for tackling the required course readings between assignment deadlines and the next class). Importantly, all of these assignments are due before any part of your final project, so there will no opportunity to backload all of your work in the class to the end of the semester!

Assignment	Corresponding Class Session	Number of Students	Due Date (9:00 pm)
1. Assessment Report Summary	September 10	1-2	Sept.15
2. Meta-Evaluation Methodological Summary	September 17	1-2	Sept.22
& Critique			
3. Project Design Matrix	September 24	3-4	Sept.30
4. Concept note for experiment or quasi-	October 1	1-3	Oct.6
experimental design			
5. Participatory Research Design Memo	October 15	1-3	Oct.20
6. Baseline needs assessment sampling strategy,	October 29	1-3	Nov.3
Nakivale Refugee Camp, Uganda			

# III. Final Project, Option 1: Meta-Evaluation Paper (50%)

For the final assignment, you will work in teams of 3-4 students to conduct a comprehensive metaevaluation paper. Your group may choose from one of the pre-set topics that will be provided in class by mid-September (each of which are real projects for real clients: USAID and the Overseas Development Institute). Alternatively, you may propose a topic to me (and attain my approval) by October 1. Students recently returned from internships, for example, might wish to propose an evaluation approach paper for a project or program currently (or hypothetically) under consideration by their interning agency.

The meta-evaluation should be 20-25 (absolutely no more than 30) pages, single-spaced and 12-point type, inclusive of cover page, executive summary, table of contents, maps, charts and tables, references, and text. Pay particular attention to framing the meta-evaluation by explaining how and why you have selected certain evaluation reports as the basis of your review (i.e., meta-evaluation methodology). The last 2-3 pages of the report should be a summary of key findings and prescriptions on the future of evaluation work on this topic (what kinds of evaluation studies would optimal, and/or feasible given the nature of the topic under study and resource constraints? Where and how should scarce evaluation resources be put to use in order learn the most we can about what works or doesn't work in this areas of intervention?)

Your group will also present the approach paper on the last day of class and defend it in front of an expert panel.

We will have five components to this project, which will be staged in such a way to minimize procrastination and maximize feedback opportunities. Each component's weight (in terms of your overall course grade) and due date are listed below.

Executive Summary and Outline (5%)	Due October 13 (by email, 9:00 pm)
Rough Draft (10%)	Due November 17 (by email, 9:00 pm)
Peer Review (5%)	Due November 24 (by email, 9:00 pm)
Presentation and Panel Defense (10%)	December 3 in class
Final Draft (20%)	December 10 (by email, 9:00 pm)

My general late penalty is 10% grade deduction for every 24-hour period after the deadline.

# III. Final, Option 2: Meta-Evaluation Rough Draft Peer Reviews (2 reports @ 5% each), Expert Panel Review & Written Feedback (10%), & Final Exam (30%)

For students not wishing to conduct group research and writing for a meta-evaluation report, you have the option of instead fulfilling this portion of your grade with the following assignments:

- (1) **Peer reviews:** of two of the rough draft meta-evaluation reports written by your classmates. Peer review guidelines are provided at the back of the syllabus. Each peer review should be 1-2 pages each and should comment on the overall structure, clarity, comprehensiveness, quality, and usefulness of the meta-evaluation. A detailed evaluation rubric will be provided prior to the due date. Peer reviews are due November 24 by email at 9:00 pm (one copy to the report authors, one copy to Dr. Weaver). Each peer review is worth 5% of your overall grade, for a total of 10%.
- (2) Expert Panel Review/ Summary Report: On December 4, students choosing Option 2 will participate as members of the expert panel during the final presentations of the group metaevaluation reports. The expert panelists will review the rough draft papers prior to the in-class presentations and ask questions based on the written report and/or oral presentation. For each presentation, I will also ask one member of the expert panel to chair the panel, which entails keeping the presentation on time and managing the audience Q&A. Finally, each chair will be responsible for providing a summary of the feedback provided on the presentation (based upon the evaluation feedback sheets filled in by the audience and the panel). This written feedback will summarize the positive and negative aspects of the presentation and provide constructive criticism

- designed to help the authors complete the final drafts of their reports (due December 6). Your performance on the panel and the quality of the written feedback will be worth a total of 10% of your overall course grade.
- (3) *Take-Home Final Exam:* On Dec.10, I will post on Canvas the final exam at a time TBD. The exam will consist of 3-4 questions, of which you will choose <u>two</u> to answer. Each question is designed to be answered in 2-3 pages each (single-spaced, 12 point type). This is a take-home exam for which you will have 24 hours. It is due by email to me exactly 24 hours after it is posted. You may use all notes, books and course materials for this exam. The exam is worth 30% of your overall grade.

#### On Academic Integrity

Students are expected to respect the LBJ School's standards regarding academic dishonesty. You owe it to yourself, your fellow students, and the institution to maintain the highest standards of integrity and ethical behavior. A discussion of academic integrity, including definitions of plagiarism and unauthorized collaboration, as well as helpful information on citations, note taking, and paraphrasing, can be found at the Office of the Dean of Students web page and the Office of Graduate Studies. The University has also established disciplinary procedures and penalty guidelines for academic dishonesty, especially Sec. 11.304 in Appendix C of the Institutional Rules on Student Services and Activities section in UT's General Information Catalog.

# **Reading Schedule**

#### **August 27: Defining the Approaches and Objectives of Evaluation**

**Key Concepts:** definition, origins, history and purposes of evaluation; subjects and uses of evaluations; monitoring and evaluation; principles and standards of evaluation; agency- and intra-agency governance of evaluation

#### Required Reading:

Road to Results, ch.1-2 and Appendix on "OECD DAC Network on Development Evaluation"

OECD/DAC. 2002. Glossary of Key Terms in Evaluation and Results Based Management. Paris: OECD/DAC Working Party on Aid Evaluation. Available at <a href="http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/29/21/2754804.pdf">http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/29/21/2754804.pdf</a>

# Recommended Reading:

Beck, T. 2006. Evaluating Humanitarian Action Using the OECD-DAC Criteria: An ALNAP Guide for Humanitarian Agencies. London: ALNAP. Available at <a href="http://www.odi.org.uk/alnap/publications/eha">http://www.odi.org.uk/alnap/publications/eha</a> dac/pdfs/eha 2006.pdf

#### September 3: Results-Based Monitoring & Evaluation (RBME) and Theories of Change

*Key Concepts:* RBME; data collection methods; logframes and theories of change models; front-end analysis; stakeholder (beneficiary) analysis; secondary research.

# Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.3-4.

Four brief selections from Duncan Green's From Power to Poverty blog:

"What Does a Theory of Change Look Like?" http://www.oxfamblogs.org/fp2p/?p=5864

"Theories of Change = Logframes on Steroids? A Discussion with DFID" http://www.oxfamblogs.org/fp2p/?p=10071

"Can Theories of Change Help Researchers (or Their Funders) Have More Impact?" http://www.oxfamblogs.org/fp2p/?p=11181

"What is a theory of change and how do we use it?" <a href="http://www.oxfamblogs.org/fp2p/?p=15532">http://www.oxfamblogs.org/fp2p/?p=15532</a>.

ODI Stakeholder analysis Toolkit: http://www.odi.org.uk/publications/5257-stakeholder-analysis

#### Recommended Reading:

Craig Valters. 2014. *Theories of Change in International Development: Communication, Learning, or Accountability?* Justice and Security Research Programme, the Asia Foundation, August 2014. Available at <a href="http://www.lse.ac.uk/internationalDevelopment/research/JSRP/downloads/JSRP17.Valters.pdf">http://www.lse.ac.uk/internationalDevelopment/research/JSRP/downloads/JSRP17.Valters.pdf</a>.

African Development Fund. 2013. Community Roads Project in Support of the National Local Development Program: Appraisal Report. June 2013. Available here and on canvas.

Isabel Vogel. 2012. Review of the Use of 'Theory of Change' in International Development: Review Report. London, UK: DFID. Available at http://r4d.dfid.gov.uk/pdf/outputs/mis\_spc/DFID\_ToC\_Review\_VogelV7.pdf

#### **September 10: Assessments**

*Key Concepts:* environmental, social, and gender impact assessments; participatory evaluation concepts and tools; rapid assessment.

# Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.5

USAID Poverty Assessment Tools: <a href="http://www.povertytools.org/">http://www.povertytools.org/</a> (I recommend viewing the videos provided on this website)

Child Protection Working Group Somalia. 2011. Inter-Agency Child Protection Rapid Assessment Summary Report on the Protection Risks for Children as a Result of the Famine in South/Central Somalia. December 2011. Available here and on BB.

#### Recommended Readings:

OECD DAC. 2006. Applying Strategic Environmental Assessment: Good Practice Guidance for Development Co-operation. Paris: OECD. Available here

Inter-Agency Standing Committee. 2009. Initial Rapid Assessment (IRA): Guidance Notes. Available here.

Kumar, S. 2002. *Methods for Community Participation: a Complete Guide for Practitioners*. Rugby: ITDG Publishing.

Chambers, R. 1994. "The Origins and Practice of Participatory Rural Appraisal." *World Development*, 22(7): 953-969.

#### Assignment 1: Assessment Report Summary

~Read/Skim thoroughly <u>one</u> of the following examples of assessment reports. Pay particular attention to the structure of these reports and the general content (not the details of the project or assessment). Write a summary of the report that identifies the core theory of change and the key methods used to conduct the assessment. Post this summary on the Blackboard discussion site by 9:00 pm on Monday, Sept.15, and be ready to discuss in class upon request. You may do this assignment individually or partner with one other student.

USAID. 2011. Environmental Assessment of the USAID/Haiti North Park Power Project. June 2011. Available here and on BB.

Asian Development Bank. 2013. Environmental Assessment and Review Framework for Proposed Loan: Republic of the Philippines: Emergency Assistance for the KALAHI–CIDSS National Community-Driven Development Project. Available at <a href="http://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/projdocs/2013/46420-002-earf.pdf">http://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/projdocs/2013/46420-002-earf.pdf</a>

USAID. 2010. Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment: Haiti Earthquake – January 12, 2010. Available at file:///Users/cw24387/Downloads/haiti-rea-final-report-march-17,-2010.pdf

OCHA and WFP. 2014. Central African Republic: Multi-Cluster/Sector Initial Rapid Assessment. Available at

 $\frac{\text{http://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/Multi%20cluster%20sector%20rapid%20assessment.p}{\text{df}}$ 

# September 17: Approaches to Evaluation: Synthesis and Meta-Evaluation

**Key concepts:** evaluation synthesis; summative versus formative meta-evaluations.

#### Required Reading:

USAID. 2013. *Meta-Evaluation of USAID's Evaluations, 2009-2012*. Available at http://usaidlearninglab.org/library/meta-evaluation-quality-and-coverage-usaid-evaluations-2009-2012

#### See also the powerpoint presentation here:

http://usaidlearninglab.org/sites/default/files/resource/files/Meta%20Evaluation%20Presentation.pdf

#### Assignment 2: Meta-Evaluation Methodological Summary & Critique

Read ONE of the following and then summarize & critique the meta-evaluation report's objectives, methods, challenges, and key findings (2-3 pages). Due Monday, Sept.22.

World Bank Independent Evaluation Group. 2013. *Delivering the Millennium Development Goals to Reduce Maternal and Child Mortality: A Systematic Review of Impact Evaluation*. Available at https://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/Data/reports/mch eval.pdf

OCHA. 2009. *OCHA Meta-Evaluation: Final Report*, July 2009. Available at https://ochanet.unocha.org/p/Documents/OCHA Meta-evaluation Final Report.pdf

#### **September 24: Designing Evaluations**

**Key Concepts:** types and development of evaluation questions (descriptive, normative, cause and effect); elements of and matrices for evaluation design.

# Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.6

In preparation for an in-class exercise, please skim through the DFID Project: "Improving Governance of Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry in Indonesia" GB-1-202798. Read the project page here and then the Business Case and Summary, Logical Framework and Annual Review <a href="here">here</a> (note: documents also available on BB if the website or link fails).

#### Assignment 3: Project Design Matrix

In teams of 3-4 people each, find a project on the United Kingdom's Department for International Development's new Development Tracker (http://devtracker.dfid.gov.uk/), the World Bank's Project Database (http://www.worldbank.org/projects), the Asian Development Bank's project pages (http://www.adb.org/projects) or the African Development Bank's Project Portfolio (http://www.afdb.org/en/projects-and-operations/project-portfolio/; note: you may have to do a but more digging for project documents on the AfDB site). Click on country projects and chose a country. Find one project for which there is fairly robust project information (look in particular for logframes, business case and summary, appraisal and approval documents, or annual review). You should not pick a complicated project for this exercise. Pull together a design matrix for an impact evaluation that would be conducted at the end of the implementation of this project, using the template provided in the Road to Results chapter six. Be ready next week to present this matrix to the class, as well as provide commentary on the participatory elements of this design. Your design matrix is due Monday, September 29 at 9:00 pm.

# October 1: Introduction to Experimental, Quasi-Experimental and Case Study Methods

**Key Concepts:** types of design (experimental, quasi-experimental, non-experimental; case studies); matching questions to appropriate designs (incl. before-and-after; interrupted time series; longitudinal)

# Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.7

#### Recommended Reading:

Abhijit Banerjee and Esther Duflo. 2008. "The Experimental Approach to Development Economics," MIT Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab. [paper available on BB]

Dan Levy "Impact Evaluation: Why Randomize?" JPAL Online course: <a href="http://ocw.mit.edu/resources/res-14-002-abdul-latif-jameel-poverty-action-lab-executive-training-evaluating-social-programs-2011-spring-2011/lecture-notes/MITRES 14 002S11 lec3.pdf [note that this is a PDF of a powerpoint]</a>

Skim the resources available online from JPAL's executive training: <a href="http://ocw.mit.edu/resources/res-14-002-abdul-latif-jameel-poverty-action-lab-executive-training-evaluating-social-programs-2011-spring-2011/">http://ocw.mit.edu/resources/res-14-002-abdul-latif-jameel-poverty-action-lab-executive-training-evaluating-social-programs-2011-spring-2011/</a>

Rachel Glennerster and Kudzai Takavarasha. 2013. *Running Randomized Evaluations: A Practical Guide*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Alan S. Gerber and Donald P. Green. 2012. Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.

Thad Dunning. 2012. *Natural Experiments in the Social Sciences: A Design-Based Approach*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Lant Pritchett and Justin Sandefur. 2013. "Context Matters for Size: Why External Validity Claims and Development Practice Don't Mix." Center for Global Development Working Paper 336, 7 August 2013. Available here.

Macarton Humphreys and Jeremy Weinstein. 2009. "Field Experiments and the Political Economy of Development," *Annual Review of Political Science*, 12: 367-378.

Macartan Humphreys, Raul Sanchez de la Sierra, Peter van der Windt. 2012. Social and Economic Impacts of Tuungane: Final Report on the Effects of a Community Driven Reconstruction Program in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. <a href="http://cu-csds.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/20120622-FINAL-REPORT.pdf">http://cu-csds.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/20120622-FINAL-REPORT.pdf</a>

Carlos Barahona. 2010. "Randomised Control Trials for the Impact Evaluation of Development Initiatives: A Statistician's Point of View." Working Paper, Institutional Learning and Change Initiative. Available at <a href="http://www.bioversityinternational.org/fileadmin/bioversity/publications/pdfs/1391\_Randomised%20controlm/20trials%20for%20the%20impact%20evaluation%20of%20development%20initiatives.pdf">http://www.bioversityinternational.org/fileadmin/bioversity/publications/pdfs/1391\_Randomised%20controlm/20trials%20for%20the%20impact%20evaluation%20of%20development%20initiatives.pdf</a>

William Savedoff. 2011. "Incentive Proliferation: Making Sense of a New Wave of Development Programs." Center for Global Development Essay, August 2011. Available at http://www.cgdev.org/content/publications/detail/1425405

#### Assignment 4: Experimental Evaluation Concept Note

Draft a 2-3 page concept (proposal) note for an evaluation of a particular intervention, using experimental or quasi-experimental design, of one of the following topics:

- a). A conditional cash transfer program designed to provide monetary incentives to encourage families to send their children to secondary school in (pick a country of your choice).
- b) An unconditional cash transfer program designed to provide incentives for families to vaccinate their children against influenza in (pick a country of your choice).

The concept note is due Monday, October 6 at 9:00 p.m. by email.

October 8: Experimental Approaches to Evaluation: The Case of UN Peacebuilding in Burundi (Guest lecture by Dr. Mike Findley)

# Required Reading:

Susanna Campbell, et al. 2014. *Evaluation of United Nations Peacebuilding Funds in Burundi*. Available at http://www.unpbf.org/news/pbf-burundi-evaluation-2014/

\*\*Monday, Oct. 13: Executive Summary and Outline for Final Projects, Option 1, due by email\*\*

# October 15: Designing and Conducting Evaluations: Data Collection Strategies and Tools, Part I

**Key Concepts:** data collection strategies, characteristics of good measures, quantitative and qualitative data; tools (including participatory data collection, observation, structured and semi-structured surveys and interviews; focus groups, expert judgment).

#### Required Reading:

Road to Results, ch.8

World Bank's *Participatory Tools for Micro-Level Poverty and Social Impact Analysis:* <a href="http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/TOPICS/EXTSOCIALDEVELOPMENT/EXTTOPPSIS">http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/TOPICS/EXTSOCIALDEVELOPMENT/EXTTOPPSIS</a> <a href="http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/TOPICS/EXTSOCIALDEVELOPMENT/EXTTOPPSIS">OU/0, contentMDK:21421096~menuPK:4028954~pagePK:64168445~piPK:64168309~theSitePK:142400</a> <a href="https://www.agover.edu/agover.ed

European Commission. *Guide to Gender Impact Assessment*. http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=4376&langId=en

#### Recommended Readings:

Drever, E. 2003. *Using Semi-Structured Interviews in Small-Scale Research: a Teacher's Guide* (revised ed.). Glasgow: Scottish Council for Research in Education

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. 2008. *Interviews: An Introduction to Qualitative Research Interviewing* (2nd ed.). Los Angeles: Sage

Rubin, H., & Rubin, I. 2005. *Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage

Krueger, R., & Casey, M. 2009. Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage

Krueger, R. A. 2002. *Designing and Conducting Focus Group Interviews*. St Paul: University of Minnesota. Last viewed on 20 September 2008.

Yin, R. 2003. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (3rd ed. Applied Social Research Methods Series: 5). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc.

Atkinson, P., Coffey, A., Delamont, S., Lofland, J., & Lofland, L. 2007. *Handbook of Ethnography*. London: Sage

Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. 2007. Ethnography: Principles in Practice (3rd ed.). London: Routledge

Fowler, F. 2009. Survey Research Methods (4th ed. Applied social research methods: 1). Los Angeles: Sage Publications

#### Assignment 5: Participatory Research Design Memo (choose one of the following options)

- (a) In groups of 2-3 students, write a 2-3 page memo that describe how various participatory tools might be used to conduct a gender impact assessment of a hypothetical micro-lending project focused on ethnic minority women living in the slums (favelas) of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- (b) In groups of 2-3, design a 2-3 page strategy plan for focus group analysis, to be implemented as part of a larger set of qualitative, participatory tools in a social assessment of a women's political empowerment project in Afghanistan that is designed to integrate women more into the political arena through a voting rights awareness campaign and the recruitment and training of women to run for elected political offices at the local and national levels.

Post you memo on Canvas by Monday, October 20 by 9:00 pm and be prepared to discuss in class on October 22.

# October 22: Designing and Conducting Evaluations: Data Collection Strategies and Tools, Part II

In-class exercises on interviews and focus groups. No required reading.

# October 29: Sampling Strategies and RealWorld / Real Time Evaluation Techniques

*Key Concepts*: types of sampling strategies, techniques to determine the sample size; pragmatic (RealWorld and real time) approaches to evaluation design and data collection; developing realistic data analysis strategies; qualitative analysis techniques; interpreting qualitative data; analyzing quantitative data

#### Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.9 and 10

Michael Bamberger. 2006. Conducting Quality Impact Evaluation Under Budget, Time and Data Constraints. Washington, DC: World Bank Independent Evaluation Group. Available at <a href="http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTEVACAPDEV/Resources/4585672-1251461875432/conduct-qual-impact.pdf">http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTEVACAPDEV/Resources/4585672-1251461875432/conduct-qual-impact.pdf</a>

Skim: Emergency Capacity Building Project. (2007). *The Good Enough Guide: Impact Measurement and Accountability in Emergencies*. Oxford: Oxfam International. Available at: <a href="http://oxfamilibrary.openrepository.com/oxfam/bitstream/10546/115510/1/bk-impact-measurement-accountability-090207-en.pdf">http://oxfamilibrary.openrepository.com/oxfam/bitstream/10546/115510/1/bk-impact-measurement-accountability-090207-en.pdf</a>

#### Recommended reading:

Field, A. (2005). Discovering Statistics using SPSS (2nd ed.). London: Sage

General Accounting Office. 1992. *Quantitative Data Analysis: An Introduction* (Methodology Transfer Paper PEMD-10.1.11). Washington: Program Evaluation and Methodology Division of the United States General Accounting Office. Last viewed on 4 July 2009. URL: http://www.gao.gov/special.pubs/pe10111.pdf

# Assignment 6: Baseline Needs Assessment Sampling Strategy Memo

In teams of 2-3 students, construct a 1-2 page strategy memo that discusses the various sampling strategies to be included as part of a baseline needs assessment to be conducted for a joint UNHCR and UNDP proposed program to provide better access to sanitation services (e.g. clean water, trash disposal) to the refugees communities in the Nakivale refugee camp in southern Uganda. The baseline needs assessment cannot exceed a budget of \$100,000, inclusive of staff and materials costs, and must be conducted and analyzed within one month of the approval of funding for the baseline needs assessment. Your sampling strategy memo is due on Monday, November 3 at 9:00 pm by email.

#### **November 5: Evaluating Complex Interventions**

*Key Concepts:* joint evaluations; country program evaluations; thematic evaluations; sector program evaluations; thematic evaluations; evaluations of global and regional partnership programs

#### Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.11

Skim (thoroughly): John Borton et al. 1996. "Humanitarian Aid and Effects," Chapter 3 in *Joint Evaluation of Emergency Assistance to Rwanda*. Available at <a href="http://www.oecd.org/derec/50189439.pdf">http://www.oecd.org/derec/50189439.pdf</a> (175 pp)

John Borton. 2004. "The Joint Evaluation of Emergency Assistance to Rwanda, *Humanitarian Exchange Magazine*, no.26, March 2004. <a href="http://www.odihpn.org/humanitarian-exchange-magazine/issue-26/the-joint-evaluation-of-emergency-assistance-to-rwanda">http://www.odihpn.org/humanitarian-exchange-magazine/issue-26/the-joint-evaluation-of-emergency-assistance-to-rwanda</a>

#### Recommended Readings:

OECD/DAC. 2000. Donor Support for Institutional Capacity Development in Environment: Lessons Learned (Evaluation and aid effectiveness: 3). Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Development Assistance Committee. http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/10/27/2667310.pdf

OECD Development Assistance Committee. 2008. *Guidance of Evaluating Conflict Prevention and Peacebuilding Activities*. http://www.oecd.org/dac/evaluation/dcdndep/39774573.pdf

World Bank. 2007. Sourcebook for Evaluating Global and Regional Partnership Programs: Indicative Principles and Standards. Washington: The Independent Evaluation Group of the World Bank. <a href="http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTGLOREGPARPRO/Resources/sourcebook.pdf">http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTGLOREGPARPRO/Resources/sourcebook.pdf</a>OECD/DAC. 2000. Effective Practices in Conducting a Joint Multi-Donor Evaluation (Evaluation and aid effectiveness: 4). Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Development Assistance Committee.

http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/10/28/2667318.pdf

OECD/DAC. 2006. *Guidance for Managing Joint Evaluations*. Paris: OECD/DAC. <a href="http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/29/28/37512030.pdf">http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/29/28/37512030.pdf</a>

OECD/DAC. 1999. Evaluating Country Programmes: Vienna Workshop, 1999 (Evaluation and aid effectiveness). Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Development Assistance Committee. http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/10/26/2667302.pdf

World Food Programme. 2009. *Humanitarian Assistance in Conflict and Complex Emergencies: June 2009 Conference Report and Background Papers*. Rome, Italy: World Food Programme. http://home.wfp.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/communications/wfp225450.pdf

# November 12: Evaluation of Organizational Strategies and Sector-Wide Programs

Key Concepts: sector program evaluations; thematic evaluations; organizational change

#### Required Reading:

Nick Brooks, et al. 2013. *An Operational Framework for Tracking Adaptation and Measuring Development (TAMD)*. Institute for International Environment and Development (iied), March 2013. Available at <a href="http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/10038IIED.pdf">http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/10038IIED.pdf</a>.

Please also <u>skim</u> one of the following World Bank IEG Reports (note: these reports appear long at first glance, but you only need to read the overview section, and then skim the main body of the report and the appendices. Read smartly.)

IEG. 2013. The World Bank Group and the Global Food Crisis: An Evaluation of the World Bank Group's Response. <a href="http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/Data/reports/chapters/food\_crisis\_eval.pdf">http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/Data/reports/chapters/food\_crisis\_eval.pdf</a>

IEG. 2010. World Bank Country-Level Engagement on Governance and Anticorruption: An Evaluation of the 2007 Strategy and Implementation Plan. World Bank: Washington, DC. <a href="http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/Data/reports/gac\_eval.pdf">http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/Data/reports/gac\_eval.pdf</a>

\*\* Monday, Nov.17: Rough Drafts of Final Papers Due by email at 9:00 p.m. (two copies – one to Dr. Weaver and one to peer reviewers)\*\*

November 19: Managing and Presenting Evaluations // The Impact, Ethics, and Politics of Evaluation in International Organizations

**Key Concepts:** design matrix management, contracting, managing budgets and staff in complex evaluations, writing and presenting the evaluation reports // ethical dimensions of evaluation; independence of evaluation units; organizational feedback and learning

#### Required Reading:

Road to Results, Ch.12-15

#### Recommended Reading:

Ocampo, J.A., S. Pickford, and C. Rustomjee. 2013. External Evaluation of the Independent Evaluation Office: Report of the Panel Convened by the IMF Executive Board. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund. http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2013/010113.pdf

OECD/DAC NDE. 2006. *DAC Evaluation Quality Standards (for test phase application)*. Paris: Network on Development Evaluation, Development Assistance Committee of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/30/62/36596604.pdf

OECD/DAC NDE. 2008. Evaluating Development Cooperation: Summary of Key Norms and Standards (Evaluation and aid effectiveness). Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Development Assistance Committee Network on Development Evaluation. http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/12/56/41612905.pdf

Borton, J., ed. 1994. *Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief: Network Paper 7* (Network paper - Relief and Rehabilitation Network: 7). London: Relief and Rehabilitation Network, Overseas Development Institute. http://www.odihpn.org/documents/networkpaper07.pdf

Good Humanitarian Donorship. 2003. *Principles and Good Practice of Humanitarian Donorship*. Stockholm: Germany, Australia, Belgium, Canada, the European Commission, Denmark, the United States, Finland, France, Ireland, Japan, Luxembourg, Norway, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Sweden and Switzerland. http://www.reliefweb.int/ghd/a%2023%20Principles%20EN-GHD19.10.04%20RED.doc

\*\* Monday, Nov.24: Peer Reviews Due (one copy to Dr. Weaver; one copy to paper authors)\*\*

**November 26: No Class (Thanksgiving Break)** 

**December 3: Evaluation Design Proposal Presentations and Panel Defense (in class)** 

\*\*Final papers due by email on December 11 at 5:00 pm by email to Dr. Weaver\*\*

#### **News Sources**

You are expected to keep on top of the news, especially as it pertains to the themes of this course. Below are some of the most common placed you might find good news on international development and humanitarian crises and assistance. Please come prepared each day in class to answer the question, "what's going on in the world?" and be ready to apply the current news to the course topic of the day.

The Economist http://www.economist.com
Financial Times of London: http://www.ft.com
Wall Street Journal: http://www.wsj.com
New York Times: http://www.nytimes.com

Reuters World News: http://www.reuters.com/news/world BBC World News: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world/

The Guardian Global Development page: http://www.guardian.co.uk/global-development

All Africa: http://allafrica.com/ (news digest)

*United Nations Development Program News Bulletin*: http://www.undp.org/dpa/journalists/subscribe.html United Nations High Commission for Refugees news: http://www.unhcr.org/cgi-bin/texis/vtx/home

IRIN: http://www.irinnews.org/

World Bank Press Reviews, Development News, and Research Bulletins:

http://www.worldbank.org/news

#### **Blogs**

Blogs are often informal opinion editorials, written quickly and often without substantial supporting evidence. Never read a blog uncritically. That said, blogs can be an excellent source of very timely analysis and can point you in the direction of the latest information on policy issues. Here are some of the blog sites I follow:

Duncan Green writes a daily blog, *From Poverty to Power*, for Oxfam International: http://www.oxfamblogs.org/fp2p/. It is one of the best places to find out about the newest reports on international development and the aid industry.

Owen Barder is a British national who has worked for over 20 years in development and is now a Senior Fellow and Director for Europe at the Center for Global Development in Washington, D.C. He runs a very interesting blog called "Owen Abroad: Poverty Matters" at <a href="http://www.owen.org/">http://www.owen.org/</a>.

Center for Global Development: CGD, a leading development think tank based in DC, is ground zero for some of the most timely and policy relevant research papers, briefs and op-eds on global development. Their policy blogs are quite interesting (http://blogs.cgdev.org/globaldevelopment/), but for the purpose of this class you should pay special attention to the various working papers and op-eds from their Evaluation Gaps working group at http://www.cgdev.org/page/evaluation-gap-updates.

World Bank Blog on Development Impacts: http://blogs.worldbank.org/impactevaluations/

Shanta Devarajan, World Bank Chief Economist for Africa runs a great blog on "Africa Can End Poverty" at http://blogs.worldbank.org/africacan/. It also contains occasional commentaries from other prominent World Bank officials.

ODI (Overseas Development Institute)'s blog at http://blogs.odi.org.uk/blogs/main/default.aspx

The Guardian's Poverty Matters blog: http://www.guardian.co.uk/global-development/poverty-matters

# General Data Sources on International Development and Humanitarian Assistance

GapMinder: http://www.gapminder.org/

~ Hans Rosling's amazing world of visualized development and global health data.

#### www.WolframAlpha.com:

~a computational knowledge engine that digests simple searches and spits out answers in graphical and other data visualization forms (e.g. punch in "GDP per capita Ireland and Iceland" and it instantly produces a line graph).

MEDevEcon: https://sites.google.com/site/medevecon/development-economics/devecondata

~website that aggregates development data

Developmentdata: http://www.developmentdata.org

~a useful website that collects links to sources of data from international organizations and other official agencies on all kinds of things related to development (governance, population, debt, trade, etc).

World Bank World Development Indicators (WDI): http://data.worldbank.org/

 $\sim$  your one-stop guide to all kinds of country-level information, ranging from GDP to literacy rates to per capita cell phone use.

 $World\ Bank\ Poverty\ \&\ Equity\ Data:\ \underline{http://povertydata.worldbank.org/poverty/home/}\ and\ PovCalNet:\ \underline{http://iresearch.worldbank.org/PovcalNet/index.htm}$ 

~World Bank's interactive data sites on regional poverty and inequality.

World Bank Microdata Library: http://microdata.worldbank.org/

~ another World Bank site that aggregates development data

United Nations Human Development Reports and HDI: http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/

~The counterpart to the World Bank's World Development Indicators, produced annually and now with an online interactive data site.

UNDP/ Millennium Development Goals Monitor: http://www.mdgmonitor.org

IMF World Economic Outlook (WEO), Global Financial Stability Reports and Global Monitoring Reports: <a href="http://www.imf.org/external/pubind.htm">http://www.imf.org/external/pubind.htm</a>

~The World Economic Outlook (WEO) and the Global Financial Stability and Global Monitoring reports present the IMF staff's analysis and projections of economic developments at the global level, in major country groups (classified by region, stage of development, etc.), and in many individual countries. The WEO and GFSR focus on major economic policy issues as well as on the analysis of economic developments and prospects.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), Statistics: http://www.unctadstat.org

 $\sim$  Statistics, policy analysis and other information on global trade, finance and development with a particular focus on issues relevance to developing countries.

United Nation World Income Inequality: http://www.wider.unu.edu/research/Database/en\_GB/database/

~data on income inequality for developed, developing, and transition countries

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development: http://www.oecd.org

 $\sim$  a good source of macroeconomic data on the OECD member states, as well as many analytical reports on salient economic policy issues

# OECD Development Assistance Committee: http://www.oecd.org/dac

 $\sim$  the OECD's site for tracking official development aid data from the OECD donors. It also includes several analytical reports, evaluations, and links.

#### OPHI's Multidimensional Poverty Index: http://www.ophi.org.uk/policy/multidimensional-poverty-index/

~ a new development index developed by the Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, now adopted by the United Nations Development Program for integration into the annual Human Development Reports.

# AidData: http://www.aiddata.org

~ An independent course of data on international aid flows and analysis on aid, in partnership with Development Gateway.

#### World Food Programme: http://www.wfp.org/

 $\sim$  the UN's main agency for provide assistance in periods of food emergencies, as well as long term food security aid. The website has great stats on hunger rates and other data.

#### World Health Organization: http://www.who.int/en/

~ the WHO's site also includes great statistics and data on global health issues

# United Nation Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (UNOCHA): http://www.unocha.org/

~ The UN's main office for coordinating inter-agency responses to most humanitarian emergencies and long-term crises. OCHA's Humanitarian Bulletins are especially useful.

# ReliefWeb: <a href="http://reliefweb.int/">http://reliefweb.int/</a>

 $\sim$  sponsored by UNOCHA, ReliefWeb is one of the best placed to get the latest news, information and analyses on humanitarian crises and international responses.

#### IRIN: http://www.irinnews.org/

~ more great news and analysis on humanitarian relief efforts

# United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR): http://www.unhcr.org/

~ The UN's main refugee agency. Its resources page is especially good for new, analysis and timely data on refugee and IDP situations, as well as evaluation reports.

#### **Useful Resources for Evaluation**

(Guides, Handbooks, Evaluation Research Sites and Evaluation Databases)

Please note that nearly all major international aid and humanitarian assistance organizations have independent evaluation offices. There are too many to list here, so I only highlight a few here.

3ie (International Initiative for Impact Evaluation): http://www.3ieimpact.org/

~ 3ie was set up to promote enhanced development effectiveness by providing financial resources and technical expertise to support rigorous impact evaluations that address questions of importance to policymakers and program managers in low- and middle-income countries. They advocate for "evidence based evaluation" (usually through quasi- and full experimental design).

#### Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab: http://www.povertyactionlab.org/

 $\sim$  Ground zero for development evaluation work and analysis using experimental (RCT) methods. Founded by Banerjee and Duflo of the *Poor Economics* fame. They have a lot of examples of experimental evaluations on the website, as well as training modules.

Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance in Humanitarian Action (ALNAP): <a href="http://www.alnap.org/">http://www.alnap.org/</a>

~ ALNAP is a network of key humanitarian organizations and experts from across the humanitarian sector, including donors, NGOs, the ICRC, UN and academic groups. It is designed to be a "learning" network that seeks to improve humanitarian action through learning, peer-to-peer sharing and research. ALNAP also provided extensive "meta-evaluations", including through its annual Review of Humanitarian Action. It also has a training module for evaluating humanitarian action available at http://www.alnap.org/resource/5795.aspx

African Development Bank Evaluation: <a href="http://operationsevaluation.afdb.org/en/">http://operationsevaluation.afdb.org/en/</a>

Asian Development Bank: Independent Evaluation: http://www.adb.org/site/evaluation/main

Monitoring and Evaluation News: http://mande.co.uk

 $\sim$  A news service focusing on developments in monitoring and evaluation methods relevant to development programmes with social development objectives

Innovations for Poverty Action: http://www.poverty-action.org/

~ Affiliated with JPAL, this is another NGO that conducts randomized evaluation for development.

Inter-American Development Bank Office of Evaluation and Oversight: <a href="http://www.iadb.org/en/office-of-evaluation-and-oversight/ove-homepage,1556.html">http://www.iadb.org/en/office-of-evaluation-and-oversight/ove-homepage,1556.html</a>

International Organization for Cooperation in Evaluation (IOCE): Interactive Map: http://ioce.net/interactivemap/mapindex.html

International Development Evaluation Association (IDEAS): http://www.ideas-int.org/home/index.cfm ~ Network devoted to promoting best practices and lessons for international development

 $\sim$  Network devoted to promoting best practices and lessons for international development evaluation.

OECD Development Assistance Committee: Evaluation Resource Center (DERec): <a href="http://www.oecd.org/derec/">http://www.oecd.org/derec/</a> ~ The OECD DAC's evaluation resource center.

United Nations Development Program Evaluation: http://web.undp.org/evaluation/

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees Evaluation and Research: http://www.unhcr.org/pages/4a1d28526.html

USAID Development Experience Clearinghouse: https://dec.usaid.gov/dec/home/Default.aspx

World Bank Development Impact Evaluation (DIME)

World Bank: Poverty Impact Evaluations Database

~ the Bank's database of impact evaluations data and results

World Bank Independent Evaluation Group: http://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/

~ see especially the IEG's list of impact evaluations

# Useful (English-language) Journals

African Evaluation Journal

Development and Change

Development in Practice

Development Studies

Economic Journal

European Journal of Development Research

Evaluation: the International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice

Evaluation and Program Planning

Gender and Development: An Oxfam Journal

Journal of Development Economics

Journal of Development Effectiveness

Journal of Economic Literature

Journal of International Development

Journal of International Relations and Development

Journal of International Trade & Economic Development

Oxford Development Studies

Public Administration and Development

Peace, Conflict, and Development

Studies in Comparative International Development

Third World Quarterly World Development

World Politics

# **Evaluation Criteria for Participation**

#### "A" Contributor

- Contributions in class and on the BB discussion board reflect exceptional preparation as evidenced by frequent authoritative and/or creative use of textual/material evidence.
- Ideas offered are always substantive (i.e., unusually perceptive, original, and/or synthetic) and provide one or more major insights as well as direction for the class.
- Agreements and/or disagreements are well substantiated and persuasively presented.
- If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished markedly.

#### "B" Contributor

- Contributions in class and on the BB discussion board reflect thorough preparation as evidenced by competent and occasionally authoritative and/or creative reference to textual/material evidence.
- Ideas offered are usually substantive, provide good insights and sometimes direction for the class.
- Agreements and/or disagreements are fairly well substantiated and/or sometimes persuasive.
- If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished.

#### "C" Contributor

- Contributions in this class reflect satisfactory preparation as evidenced by at least some acquaintance with textual/material evidence.
- Ideas offered are sometimes substantive, provide generally useful insights, but seldom offer a new direction for discussion.
- Sometimes disagreements and agreements are voiced with little to no substantiation.
- If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would be diminished somewhat.

# "D-F" Contributor

- Contributions in class reflect inadequate preparation.
- Ideas are seldom substantive, provide few if any insights, and never a constructive direction for the class.
- Integrative comments and effective challenges are absent.
- If this person were not a member of the class, valuable air-time would be saved.

#### Non-Participant

- Little or nothing contributed in class; hence, there is not adequate basis for evaluation.
- If this person were not a member of the class, the quality of discussion would not be changed.
- Said persons need to leave this category and move into a contributor category.

# **Guidelines For Peer Reviews of Rough Drafts of Meta-Evaluation Papers**

Constructive peer reviews contain the following elements:

- 1. A concise summary of the driving questions, objectives, and proposed methods of the meta-evaluation report. This is essential, as it compels the reviewer to pay close attention and reiterate in his or her own words the key elements of the approach paper. If this summary is off, it signals to the author(s) that the arguments and organization are not clear and more work needs to be done on this front.
- 2. Comments on the overall organization of the paper, pointing out areas of confusion and offering helpful suggestions for reorganization, if needed.
- 3. Explanations of the weaknesses or flaws in the underlying methodology.
- 4. Helpful suggestions on additional literature or data sources to consult, if needed, as well as suggestions on where visuals (charts, graphs, maps, etc) can be edited (for clarity), added or subtracted.
- 5. Generally corrects grammar, misspellings, awkward sentences, etc. However, please note it is not the job of the peer reviewer to micro-edit the paper. If you see consistent problems in the grammar or style of writing, point out an example, explain what is wrong, and tell the author that this occurs throughout the paper and needs to be fixed.
- 6. End the peer review with a concise summary of the key problems to address in revisions. While the tone should not be overly critical, it is not the job of the peer review to play cheerleader unless the draft approach paper is really in good shape. The majority of the peer review will be focused on the weaknesses of the paper so that the authors will be well prepared for the panel defense that will follow their in-class presentations.

A proper review can be done in 2-3 pages.